Linda McCulloch, Superintendent Office of Public Instruction Division of Special Education PO Box 202501 Helena, MT 59620-2501

# INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)

## \*\*\*Final Maintenance of Effort Report \*\*\*

(MOE for State FY 2008 IDEA, Part B Applications)

Expenditure Comparison using the Trustees' Reports for State FYs 2005 and 2006

Per-Student Calculated on the Child Count taken December 1, 2004 (FY 2005) and 2005(FY 2006)

Local Funds Calculated using State Allowable Cost Payments for State FYs 2005 and 2006

Allowable Reduction for State FY 2006 Calculated using Part B Allocations for State FYs 2006 and 2007

PRIME APPLICANT: 9034 Dept of Corrections-Youth 09 Custer

PLEASE NOTE: The applicant is eligible to reduce their level of expenditure for School Fiscal Year 2007 by this amount: \$0

For FY 2007, an applicant may treat as state and local funds 50% of the amount of Part B funds it is eligible to receive that exceeds the amount it was eligible to receive under Part B for the previous fiscal year. The 50% is calculated by comparing the total allocations for FY 2006 and FY 2007 excluding supplemental flow-through funds. The above information informs the applicant of the amount it may reduce its level of expenditures for the current fiscal year. This is for informational purposes only and is NOT used in the calculation of MOE shown below. [20 USC 1413(a)(2)(C)(i)]

#### APPLICANT TOTALS

### STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

Fiscal Years	Child Count	Expenditures - State and Local Funds	Total IDEA Part B Allocations	Total Allocations Excluding Supplemental Flow-Through	Allowable Reduction*
2005	24	0	24,371	23,967	
2006	18	0	22,413	21,751	0
2007			21,930	21,259	0

<sup>\*</sup>Allowable reduction is based on50 % of increase in IDEA Part B allocation excluding supplemental flow-through.

#### 1. DID THE APPLICANT MAINTAIN EFFORT BY EXPENDITURE COMPARISON? Subtract state and local expenditures for FY 2005 from state and local expenditures for FY 2006 0 Is the Expenditure Difference greater than or equal to zero? YES (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT. IF NO, GO TO STEP 2.) 2. IS THE EXPENDITURE DIFFERENCE WITHIN THE RANGE OF THE ALLOWABLE REDUCTION FOR FY 2006? Is the Expenditure Difference less than or equal to the Allowable Reduction? N/A (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT, IF NO IN STEPS 1 and 2, GO TO STEP 3.) 3. DID THE APPLICANT MAINTAIN EFFORT ON A PER-STUDENT BASIS? To find the per-student amount, divide the expenditure for state and local expenditures by the child count for each fiscal year. Per-student amount for FY 2005-----0 Per-student amount for FY 2006-----Is the per-student amount for FY 2006 greater than or equal to the per-student amount for FY 2005? YES (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT. IF NO FOR ALL 3 STEPS, LOOK AT LOCAL FUNDS CALCULATION.)

Linda McCulloch, Superintendent Office of Public Instruction Division of Special Education PO Box 202501 Helena, MT 59620-2501

# INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)

## \*\*\*Final Maintenance of Effort Report \*\*\*

(MOE for State FY 2008 IDEA, Part B Applications)

Expenditure Comparison using the Trustees' Reports for State FYs 2005 and 2006

Per-Student Calculated on the Child Count taken December 1, 2004 (FY 2005) and 2005(FY 2006)

Local Funds Calculated using State Allowable Cost Payments for State FYs 2005 and 2006

Allowable Reduction for State FY 2006 Calculated using Part B Allocations for State FYs 2006 and 2007

PRIME APPLICANT: 9034 Dept of Corrections-Youth 09 Custer

PLEASE NOTE: The applicant is eligible to reduce their level of expenditure for School Fiscal Year 2007 by this amount: \$0

For FY 2007, an applicant may treat as state and local funds 50% of the amount of Part B funds it is eligible to receive that exceeds the amount it was eligible to receive under Part B for the previous fiscal year. The 50% is calculated by comparing the total allocations for FY 2006 and FY 2007 excluding supplemental flow-through funds. The above information informs the applicant of the amount it may reduce its level of expenditures for the current fiscal year. This is for informational purposes only and is NOT used in the calculation of MOE shown below. [20 USC 1413(a)(2)(C)(i)]

#### **APPLICANT TOTALS**

## **LOCAL FUNDS**

	Fiscal Years	Child Count	Expenditures - State and Local Funds	State Allowable Cost Funds	Local Fund Expenditures	Allowable Reduction*
2005		24	0	0	0	
2006		18	0	0	0	0
2007						0

<sup>\*</sup>Allowable reduction is based on 50 % of increase in IDEA Part B allocation excluding supplemental flow-through.

#### 1. DID THE APPLICANT MAINTAIN EFFORT BY EXPENDITURE COMPARISON?

Subtract the local fund expenditures for FY 2005 from the local fund expenditures for FY 2006

Is the Expenditure Difference greater than or equal to zero? (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT. IF NO, GO TO STEP 2..)

YES

0

# 2. IS THE EXPENDITURE DIFFERENCE WITHIN THE RANGE OF THE ALLOWABLE REDUCTION FOR FY 2006?

Is the Expenditure Difference less than or equal to the Allowable Reduction? (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT. IF NO IN STEPS 1 and 2, GO TO STEP 3.)

N/A

#### 3. DID THE APPLICANT MAINTAIN EFFORT ON A PER-STUDENT BASIS?

To find the per-student amount, divide the expenditure amount by the child count total for each fiscal year.

Per-student amount for FY 2005	0
Per-student amount for FY 2006	0

Is the per-student amount for FY 2006 greater than or equal to the per-student amount for FY 2005?

YES (IF YES, APPLICANT HAS MAINTAINED EFFORT. IF NO FOR ALL 3 STEPS AND THE APPLICANT DID NOT MAINTAIN EFFORT ON STATE AND LOCAL FUND REPORT, EVALUATE EXPENDITURES TO DETERMINE IF THE APPLICANT CAN SUBMIT A REQUEST FOR AN EXCEPTION.)